

RESOLUTION NO. 16-03

A RESOLUTION APPROVING LOT AREA, LOT WIDTH, FRONT SETBACK, SIDE SETBACK, AND FLOODPLAIN BUFFER VARIANCES AND CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS FOR INCREASE IN THE MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE FLOOR AREA RATIO, SHORELAND IMPACT PLAN AND ALTERNATIVE FLOODPROOFING MEASURES TO ALLOW FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW SINGLE FAMILY HOME ON THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 160 SUNRISE AVE

WHEREAS, the City of Tonka Bay is a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of Minnesota; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Tonka Bay has adopted zoning regulations in the Municipal Code to promote orderly development and utilization of land within the city; and

WHEREAS, 4 Square Builders (“Applicant”) submitted an application on behalf of Robert Steele and Suzanne Steele (“Property Owner”); and

WHEREAS, the Property Owner owns the property located at 160 Sunrise Ave. which is legally described as follows:

I. C. SEELEY AND N. F. GRISWOLD'S AMENDMENT AND FIRST ADDITION TO MINNETONKA LAKE PARK, LOT 039 INCL ADJ ST VAC, Village of Tonka Bay, Hennepin County, Minnesota, and reserving easements of record.

WHEREAS, the Property Owners’ Property is located within the R-1B Shoreland zoning district; and

WHEREAS, the Applicants are requesting the following:

1. A 10,400 sf. variance from the minimum required lot area within the R-1B District.
2. A 35 foot variance from the minimum required lot width within the R-1B District.
3. An 11.1 foot variance from the required front setback due to the 39.9-foot setback of the existing home.
4. A 5.5 foot variance from the required side setback for the proposed cantilevered covered stairs and location of the proposed deck.
5. A variance from the minimum fill elevation (floodplain buffer) of 932.5 feet for 15 feet surrounding the home.

6. A conditional use permit for an increase in the maximum allowable FAR by 25.6%.
7. A conditional use permit and a shoreland impact plan for impervious surface between 36 and 45 percent (proposed impervious surface is 41.2%)
8. A conditional use permit for alternative flood proofing measures for the proposed lowest floor of the structure.

WHEREAS, Erin Perdu, City Planner, and Justin Messner, City Engineer, issued a report dated November 30, 2015 on the application made by the Applicants (Planning Report), analyzing the request made in relation to City Ordinance criteria and made recommendations if the requests were approved by the City Council; and December 8, 2015 held a public hearing, following the required notices and publication; and

WHEREAS, no neighbors spoke on the Applicant's proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Applicants presented to the Council reasons for their proposal; and

WHEREAS, the City Council, following the public hearing and deliberation on the variance and conditional use permit requests to allow for an addition to the existing single family home directed staff to prepare a resolution for its consideration, and having considered the application, the Planning Report, the resolution prepared by staff, makes the following findings of fact and conclusions:

1. The proposed use as a single-family home will not change and is consistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan.
2. Granting the requested variances and Conditional Use Permits will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent parcels.
3. Granting the variances and Conditional Use Permits will not increase congestion in the public street. The use of the property as a single family home will stay the same and not increase congestion.
4. Granting the variances will not increase the danger of fire or endanger the public safety.
5. Granting the variances will not diminish or impair established property values in the neighborhood.
6. Granting the variances will not alter the essential character of the locality.
7. The continued use of the property as a single-family home is a reasonable use of the property.
8. The requests are in harmony with the general intent of the ordinance.
9. There is a demonstrated need for the use.
10. The proposed use conforms with all performance standards

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Tonka Bay hereby approves the variance and conditional use permit requests, to allow

for an addition to the single family home; as shown on the Plans attached hereto, as Exhibit A (the Plans); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the approval of the requested variance and conditional use permit shall include the following conditions:

1. The Applicant shall submit an updated survey showing the proper establishment of the benchmark used to determine the finished grades and must be approved by the City Engineer.
2. The Applicant shall obtain all necessary permits and approvals from the City of Tonka Bay and other applicable entities with jurisdiction prior to any construction. This includes, but shall not be limited to permits from the Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) and the Lake Minnetonka Conservation District (LMCD).
3. The MCWD shall review and approve the final grading plans approved by the City Engineer prior to any work being authorized. Proof of MCWD approval (if needed) shall be provided to the city prior to a building permit being authorized.
4. Erosion control measures shall be shown on the building permit plans and shall be subject to review and approval by the City Engineer.
5. The City Engineer shall inspect the property at the property owner's expense during the construction process to ensure on-going compliance with all engineering requirements.
6. Construction shall follow the survey and plans as submitted or as required to be updated by the City Engineer.
7. Building of structures shall not occur within any existing or proposed easements on the property.
8. The variance shall expire one year from the date of the resolution. City Council approval will be required for any subsequent extension.
9. Alternative flood proofing measures must be approved by the Building Official prior to the issuance of any building permits.

PASSED AT A REGULAR MEETING of the Tonka Bay City Council this 12th day of January, 2016.

Motion introduced by _____ and seconded by _____.

Roll call vote:

Ayes –

Nays –

Absent –

Gerry De La Vega, Mayor

ATTEST:

Lindy Crawford, Clerk/Administrator